PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

To: KONTNKLTIKE KPN N V

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF

Attn. Wuyts, Koenraad M. P.O. Box 95321 NL-2509 CH, The Hague NETHERLANDS	THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION		
	(PCT Rule 44.1)		
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 03/05/2005		
Applicant's or agent's file reference			
402922WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below		
International application No.	International filing date		
PCT/EP2005/000999	(day/month/year) 31/01/2005		
KONINKLIJKE KPN N.V.			
The applicant is hereby notified that the international search Authority have been established and are transmitted here.	ch report and the written opinion of the International Searching with.		
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claimant when? The time limit for filing such amendments is not statement.	ims of the International Application (see Rule 46):		
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35			
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the acc	companying sheet.		
2. X The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.			
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) addit	ional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:		
the protest together with the decision thereon has be applicant's request to forward the texts of both the pr	en transmitted to the International Bureau together with the		

4. Reminders

Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.

The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.

Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.

In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016 Olga Benitez	Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority	Authorized officer
	NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,	Olga Benitez

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions, respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only (see *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Annexes B1 and B2).

The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that amendments to the claims under Article 19 are not allowed where the International Searching Authority has declared, under Article 17(2), that no international search report would be established (see *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume I/A, paragraph 296).

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

DECLARATION OF NON-ESTABLISHMENT OF INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 17(2)(a), Rules 13ter.1(c) and Rule 39)

Applicant's or agent's file reference			Date of mailing/day/month/your)		
402922WO	IMPORTANT DECLARATION		Date of mailing (day/month/year) 03/05/2005		
International application No.	International filing date(day/month/year)				
PCT/EP2005/000999		1/01/2005	(Earliest) Priority date (day/month/year)		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or be	.l		30/01/2004		
G06F17/60	oin national classification an	a IPC			
Applicant TVE VDN N N					
KONINKLIJKE KPN N.V.			•		
f. Schemes, rules or method. g. schemes, rules or method. h. schemes, rules or method.	onal application relates to: ocesses for the production of processes. ods of doing business. ods of performing purely me	f plants and animal	no international search report will		
 j methods for treatment of the animal body by surgery or therapy. k diagnostic methods practised on the human or animal body. l mere presentations of information. 					
m. computer programs for	which this International Sear	ching Authority is n	ot equipped to search prior art.		
2. The failure of the following parts of meaningful search from being car	of the international application ried out:	n to comply with pre	escribed requirements prevents a		
the description	the claims		the drawings		
3. The failure of the nucleotide and/o Administrative Instructions preven	or amino acid sequence listin nts a meaningful search from	ng to comply with the being carried out:	e standard provided for in Annex C of the		
the written form has n	ot been furnished or does no	ot comply with the s	standard.		
the computer readable	e form has not been furnishe	ed or does not comp	oly with the standard.		
the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard. 4. The failure of the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions prevents a meaningful search from being carried out:					
the written form has not	been furnished.				
		or doos not comply			
the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the technical requirements. 5. Further comments:					
o. rather comments.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>. </u>				
Name and mailing address of the International	al Searching Authority Au	uthorized officer			
European Patent Office, P.B. 58 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 6 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	18 Patentlaan 2	Olga Benit	ez		

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 203

The claims relate to subject matter for which no search is required according to Rule 39 PCT. Given that the claims are formulated in terms of such subject matter or merely specify commonplace features relating to its technological implementation, the search examiner could not establish any technical problem which might potentially have required an inventive step to overcome. Hence it was not possible to carry out a meaningful search into the state of the art (Art. 17(2)(a)(i) and (ii) PCT; see Guidelines Part B Chapter VIII, 1-3).

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/EP2005/000999 31.01.2005 30.01.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G06F17/60 **Applicant** KONINKLIJKE KPN N.V. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion **Priority** ☐ Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☑ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ☐ Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited Box No. VI ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date. whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. **Authorized Officer**

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Daman, M

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2763



AP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 13 JUL 2006

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/000999

	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With r	gard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	la	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following aguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search and representation of the search are search as the search are sear
2.	With r neces	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. forn	at of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	ha cc	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.
1	Addition 1	nal comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/000999

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
\boxtimes	the entire international application,				
	claims Nos.				
bed	cause:				
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
	the description, claims or drawings <i>(indicate particular elements below)</i> or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed <i>(specify)</i> :				
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.				
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
	the written form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
	See separate sheet for further	detai	ls		

10/585799

AP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 13 JUL 2005 International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/EP2005/000999

Re Item III.

The claims relate to subject matter for which no search is required according to Rule 39 PCT. Given that the claims are formulated in terms of such subject matter or merely specify commonplace features relating to its technological implementation, the search examiner could not establish any technical problem which might potentially have required an inventive step to overcome. Hence it was not possible to carry out a meaningful search into the state of the art (Art. 17(2)(a)(i) and (ii) PCT; see Guidelines Part B Chapter VIII, 1-3).